3/1/2010

### Planning - Red Mountain Parking (FINAL)

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY:	Version #	APP # 700544	
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY:			

#### A. Statement of Planning Objectives

The planning objective of this project is to provide staging and parking on road 8S10 from Highway 168 for access to the Red Lake OHV area.

#### B. Relation of Proposed Project to OHV Recreation

This project relates directly to OHV recreation by being on the Red Lake trail system. This project will enhance recreational opportunities by providing parking for vehicles that are unable to drive into Sand Flats. Sand Flats is the trailhead where Public can access West Lake, Mirror Lake, Strawberry Lake, Red Lake and Coyote Lake. Opportunities for fishing, hiking and camping are available from this trailhead.

#### C. Statement of Activities

Activities include preliminary planning with an assessment from Resource Specialists and basic designs from Engineering. Specialists will need to visit the proposed project site for at least one day of data research. Two to four days of data processing will be required to accomplish needed planning.

#### D. List of Reports

Reports needing an environmental analysis will be completed per NEPA requirements.

Version # Page: 1 of 10

# Additional Documentation for Grants and Cooperative Agreements Program - 2009/2010 3/1/2010 Applicant: USFS - Sierra National Forest Application: Planning - Red Mountain Parking (FINAL)

### **Additional Documentation**

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY: Version # APP # 700544	FOR OFFICE USE ONLY:	Version #	APP # 700544	
---	----------------------	-----------	--------------	--

1. Timeline for Completion

Attachments: CompletionTimeline

2. Optional Project-Specific Application Documents

3. Optional Project-specific Maps

Attachments: Red Mtn. Map
Forest Map

\_\_\_\_\_

Version # Page: 2 of 10

#### Project Cost Estimate for Grants and Cooperative Agreements Program - 2009/2010 Agency: USFS - Sierra National Forest Application: Planning - Red Mountain Parking (FINAL)

### **Project Cost Estimate**

		FOR OFFICE USE ONLY:		Version #			APP #		
APP	LICANT NAME :	USFS - Sierra National Forest							
PRO	JECT TITLE :	Planning - Red Mountain Parkin	g (FINAL)				PROJECT NUMBER (Division use only)		
PRO	JECT TYPE :	☐ Acquisition		Development		□ Edu	ucation & Safety	Ground Oper	rations
PROJECT TIPE.		Law Enforcement	굣	Planning		□ Res	storation		
PRC	JECT DESCRIPTION :	The planning objective of this pr	oject is to pr	ovide staging a	nd parking on ro	ad 8S10 fr	om Highway 168 for access	to the Red Lake OH	V area.
	Line Item			Qty	Rate	UOM	Grant Request	Match	Total
DIRI	ECT EXPENSES								
Prog	ıram Expenses								
1	Staff								
	Management and Ad	min Staff		5.000	346.980	DAY	0.00	1,735.00	1,735.00
	Other-OHV Manager			20.000	226.950	DAY	3,404.00	1,135.00	4,539.00
	Other-Asst. OHV Mar	nager		10.000	198.180	DAY	1,487.00	495.00	1,982.00
	Other-Road Enginee	r		5.000	300.000	DAY	1,125.00	375.00	1,500.00
	Other-Hydrologist			5.000	322.110	DAY	1,208.00	403.00	1,611.00
	Other-Wildlife Biologi	ist		5.000	344.000	DAY	1,290.00	430.00	1,720.00
	Other-Aquatics Spec	ialist		5.000	326.570	DAY	1,225.00	408.00	1,633.00
	Other-Botanist			5.000	325.960	DAY	1,223.00	407.00	1,630.00
	Other-Archeologist			5.000	291.660	DAY	1,094.00	364.00	1,458.00
	Other-Volunteers			100.000	20.000	HRS	0.00	2,000.00	2,000.00
	Total for Staff						12,056.00	7,752.00	19,808.00

# Project Cost Estimate for Grants and Cooperative Agreements Program - 2009/2010 Agency: USFS - Sierra National Forest Application: Planning - Red Mountain Parking (FINAL)

	Line Item	Qty	Rate	UOM	Grant Request	Match	Total
2	Contracts						
3	Materials / Supplies						
	Other-Volunteer Subsistence	30.000	30.000	DAY	600.00	300.00	900.00
4	Equipment Use Expenses			_			
	Other-Vehicle Maintenance	2.000	539.000	MOS	765.00	313.00	1,078.00
5	Equipment Purchases						
6	Others						
7	Indirect Costs						
	Indirect Costs-Indirect Costs  Notes: Forest overhead costs are currently 8%	1.000	1050.000	EA	600.00	450.00	1,050.00
Total	Program Expenses		14,021.00	8,815.00	22,836.00		
TOTA	L DIRECT EXPENSES		14,021.00	8,815.00	22,836.00		
TOTA	L EXPENDITURES		14,021.00	8,815.00	22,836.00		

## Project Cost Summary for Grants and Cooperative Agreements Program - 2009/2010 Agency: USFS - Sierra National Forest

Application: Planning - Red Mountain Parking (FINAL)
--

	Line Item	Grant Request	Match	Total	Narrative		
DIREC	T EXPENSES						
Progra	ogram Expenses						
1	Staff	12,056.00	7,752.00	19,808.00	This planning project involves several field visits with specialists to complete initial NEPA.  Volunteers will help with transportation and be included in the planning process. There will be several days required of the OHV manager to coordinate between Volunteer groups and Forest Service Staff. Forest Service Road Engineers will be involved in drawing plans for project site.  Many Four Wheel Drive Clubs are stakeholders in this OHV area and will dedicate time to this project.		
2	Contracts	0.00	0.00	0.00			
3	Materials / Supplies	600.00	300.00	900.00	This project will require up to 5 overnight trips to this project location. The subsistence cost will cover volunteer support in project completion.		
4	Equipment Use Expenses	765.00	313.00	1,078.00			
5	Equipment Purchases	0.00	0.00	0.00			
6	Others	0.00	0.00	0.00			
7	Indirect Costs	600.00	450.00	1,050.00			
Total P	rogram Expenses	14,021.00	8,815.00	22,836.00			
TOTAL	DIRECT EXPENSES	14,021.00	8,815.00	22,836.00			
TOTAL	EXPENDITURES	14,021.00	8,815.00	22,836.00			

### **Environmental Review Data Sheet (ERDS)**

	FOR OFFICE	E USE ONLY:	Version #	APP # 700544				
	TEM 1 and ITEM 2							
,	ITEM 1							
a.	ITEM 1 - Has a CEQA N (Please select Yes or N		nation (NOD) been	filed for the Project?	c	Yes	•	No
	ITEM 2							
b.	Does the proposed Proj document preparation p a two-phased Project pu	rior to implement	ting the remaining P	roject Deliverables (i.e., is it	C	Yes	•	No
I	TEM 3 - Project under 0	EQA Guideline	s Section 15378					
C.	ITEM 3 - Are the propos (Please select Yes or N		Project" under CEQA	Guidelines Section 15378?	C	Yes	•	No
d.		y. These activitie	s would not cause a	support to enforce OHV law ny physical impacts on the ease select Yes or No)	s C	Yes	•	No
e.	Other. Explain why propa "Project" under CEQA			physical impacts on the envi	ronme	ent and a	are 1	thus no
	The project is limited to environment.	planning activitie	es, and will not resul	t in direct or indirect change	to the	physica	l	
I	TEM 4 - Impact of this F	Project on Wetla	nds					
1	TEM 5 - Cumulative Imp	pacts of this Pro	ject					
I	TEM 6 - Soil Impacts							
ı	TEM 7 - Damage to Sce	nic Resources						
I	TEM 8 - Hazardous Mat	erials						
				ny list compiled pursuant to ous materials)? (Please	C	Yes	С	No
	If YES, describe the loc taken to minimize or ave		rd relative to the Pro	pject site, the level of hazard	and th	ne meas	ures	s to be
1	TEM 9 - Potential for Ad	lverse Impacts	to Historical or Cu	tural Resources				
	Would the proposed Prohistorical or cultural reso	-	<u>-</u>	al adverse impacts to	C	Yes	С	No
	Discuss the potential for resources.	the proposed P	roject to have any s	ubstantial adverse impacts to	histo	rical or o	cultu	ıral

Version # Page: 6 of 10

**ITEM 10 - Indirect Significant Impacts** 

**CEQA/NEPA Attachment** 

Version # Page: 7 of 10

	Project Crit	eria
--	--------------	------

4.

Public Input - Q 4.

	FOR OFFICE USE ONLY:	Version #	APP # 700544						
1. Proje	ct Cost Estimate - Q 1. (Auto popul	lates from Cost E	stimate)						
	calculated on the Project Cost Estima	te, the percentage	of the Project costs covered by the						
•	e: This field will auto-populate once the from list)	ne Cost Estimate a	and Evaluation Criteria are Validated.) (Please select						
	76% or more (10 points) 26% - 50% (3 points)		51% - 75% (5 points) 25% (Match minimum) (No points)						
2. Planr	ning Project - Q 2.								
A Planning	Project - Page 1								
2. The	Planning Project would address the	following 3							
(Che	eck all that apply) (Please select appl	licable values)							
`	Potential effects of OHV Recreation	,	species habitats						
	Potential effects of OHV Recreation	-							
Į.	Potential effects of OHV Recreation	on soil conditions							
Į.	Potential effects of OHV Recreation	on water quality							
Į.	Potential effects of OHV Recreation	on other recreation	n uses						
<ul><li>Potential effects of OHV Recreation on adjacent lands.</li><li>Potential impact to relationships between OHV Recreation and local residents</li><li>Toxic or hazardous materials within a Project Area or adjacent property that may impact OHV Recreation</li></ul>									
						V	Trail issues such as traffic patterns,	trails closures, ap	propriate uses, etc.
						B. Planning	g Project - Page 2		
Exp	lain each statement that was checked	b							
-	arking area at this location will provide  V equipment have parked at the near	· •	rs with OHV equipment. Traditionally People hauling olders stock users parking.						
(Che	eck the one most appropriate) (Pleas	e select one from	list)						
C	6 or more items checked (4 points)		4 to 5 items checked (3 points)						
(	2 to 3 items checked (2 points)	1	1 or no items checked (No points)						
3. Moto	rized Access - Q 3.								
	Project would lead to improved facility motorized recreation opportunities 6	· ·	otorized access to the following						
(Che	eck all that apply) Scoring: 2 points ea	ach, up to a maxim	um of 6 points (Please select applicable values)						
Į.	Camping	ļ	<b>☑</b> Birding						
F	Hiking		☑ Equestrian trails						
Į.	Fishing	1	Rock Climbing						
E	Other (Specify)								

Version # Page: 8 of 10

# Planning Project Criteria for Grants and Cooperative Agreements Program - 2009/2010 3/1/2010 Applicant: USFS - Sierra National Forest Application: Planning - Red Mountain Parking (FINAL)

	4.	4. The Project proposal was developed with public input employing the f	ollowing 2
		(Check all that apply) Scoring: Maximum of 2 points (Please select a ☐ Publicly noticed meeting(s) with the general public to discuss Pro  Conference call(s) with interested parties (1 point)  Meeting(s) with stakeholders (1 point)	
		Explain each statement that was checked	
		Quarterly meetings are held with Adopt-A-Trail representatives and di take place. Adopt-A-Trail representatives are called to address needs meeting will be held on March 16th with the general public to get input	s from the Forest Service and Volunteers. A
5.	;	5. Stakeholder Input - Q 5.	
	5.	5. If the Project were approved, the planning process would incorporate	substantial stakeholder input: 0
		(Check the one most appropriate) (Please select one from list)	
			points)
		If 'Yes', explain, specifically, how it would be 'substantial'. Identify stake	eholders
6.	ı	6. Utilization of Partnerships - Q 6.	
	6.	6. The Project will utilize partnerships to successfully accomplish the Pro organizations that will participate in the Project are 2	eject. The number of partner
		(Check the one most appropriate) (Please select one from list)	
		C 4 or more (4 points) C 2 to 3 (2	points)
		C 1 (1 point) C None (N	lo points)
		List partner organization(s)	
		Adopt-A-Trail 4x4 clubs and other Forest Service Volunteers will help physical labor required. The Four Wheel Drive Club of Fresno, 4X4 F directly involved with this project.	
7.	;	7. Sustain OHV Opportunity - Q 7.	
	7.		er 3
		(Check all that apply) (Please select applicable values)  □ Project will develop management plans for existing OHV Opportu □ Project will complete environmental review for an OHV Developm □ Project supports development of OHV Opportunities adjacent to □ Project supports development of OHV Opportunities in areas that □ Project will develop a system of designated OHV routes for an experience.	unity (4 points) nent Project (3 points) population centers (3 points) t lack legal OHV Opportunity (2 points)
		Explain each statement that was checked	
		Completion of this project will provide better access to the Red Mount create opportunity for the local Fresno County community. This area moderate level OHV use on the Sierra National Forest.	
8.	1	8. Identification of Funding Sources - Q 8.	
	8.	8. Funds for implementing the completed plan have been identified 5	
		(Check the one most appropriate) (Please select one from list)	

Version # Page: 9 of 10

# Planning Project Criteria for Grants and Cooperative Agreements Program - 2009/2010 3/1/2010 Applicant: USFS - Sierra National Forest Application: Planning - Red Mountain Parking (FINAL)

	No (No points)	Yes (5 points)
	Explain 'Yes' response	
	appropriated dollars and State fund minimal. Trail route maintenance i volunteers, appropriated Federal fu	iated with designated travel routes has been a combination of Forest Service ding, such as OHMVR funds. In recent years, appropriated dollars have been a accomplished with a combination of efforts, including work provided by unding, and State funding through grant agreements. Costs associated with , will be paid for primarily through Federal appropriated dollars.
	Reference Document	
	Sierra NF 2010 Lands, Recreation,	Heritage, Partnerships, and Wilderness Annual Business Plan
9.	Offsite Impacts - Q 9.	
9	The Planning Project would addres dust, runoff): 0	ss offsite impacts relative to the Project Area (e.g., sound, fugitive
	(Check the one most appropriate)	(Please select one from list)
	No (No points)	C Yes (5 points)
	Explain 'Yes' response	

Version # Page: 10 of 10